

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/007,812	11/08/2001	Robert S. Supinski	011072	6892	
7590 05/07/2007		EXAMINER			
Lynn J. Alsta					
Buchanan Inge One Oxford Ce			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
301 Grant Stree					
Pittsburgh, PA 15219			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2007		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/007,812	SUPINSKI, ROBERT S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David Comstock	3733		

(37 CFR 41.37)		10/007,612 301 INSIN, NOBERT 3.		CINI O.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Comstock	3733			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence	address		
The A	ppeal Brief filed on 03 January 2007 is defective	for failure to comply with one or r	more provisions	of 37 CFR 41.37.		
1205.0	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notification	ate correction (se n, whichever is I	ee MPEP onger.		
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CF 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendix	x thereto (37 CF	R		
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent $41.37(c)(1)(x)$.	ons rendered by a court or the Bo ices section of the brief as an app	eard in the proce pendix thereto (3	eding 37 CFR		
10.⊠	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):				
	The brief presents an argument under a separate he forth in the Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on allegedly constitutes an improper comment on the va of rejection. Appropriate correction is required.	Appeal section. Specifically, the arg	gument that the re	jection		

EDUARDO OF TOBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER